

**2008 FINAL UTAH 305(b) REPORT
PART 2 INTEGRATED REPORT**



**Utah Division of Water Quality
Utah Department of Environmental Quality
March 2011**

2008Final Utah 305(b) Report
Part 2 Integrated Report

Tom Toole

March 2011

Utah Division of Water Quality
Department of Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<i>Chapter 2.1 Executive Summary</i>	1
2.1.1 Introduction.....	1
2.1.2 Stream Monitoring.....	2
2.1.3 Lakes / Reservoirs.....	5
<i>Chapter 2.2 Overview of Division of Water Quality’s Water Quality Programs</i>	7
2.2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2.2 Water Pollution Control Programs.....	7
2.2.2.1 Watershed Approach - 305(b) Program.....	7
2.2.2.2 Clean Lakes Program - 314 Program.....	8
2.2.2.3. Nonpoint Source Program Overview -319 Program.....	8
2.2.2.4 Water Quality Standards Program.....	11
2.2.2.5 Point Source Control Program.....	11
2.2.2.5.1 Construction Activities.....	13
2.2.2.5.2 Industrial Activities.....	14
2.2.2.5.3 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems.....	15
2.2.2.6 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Program.....	15
2.2.2.7 Ground Water Protection Program.....	16
2.2.2.8 Wetlands Assessment Program.....	19
2.2.2.9 Cost/Benefit Assessment.....	19
2.2.2.9.1 Point Source.....	19
2.2.2.9.2 Nonpoint Source.....	21
<i>Chapter 2.3 Statewide River and Stream Water Quality Assessment</i>	23
2.3.1 Introduction.....	23
2.3.2 Statewide Assessment Results.....	24
2.3.2.1 Assessment for Mercury in Fish Tissue.....	24
2.3.2.2 Assessment by Category.....	25
2.3.2.3 Overall Use Support.....	25
2.3.2.4 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	26
2.3.2.5 Causes of Not Supporting.....	26
2.3.2.6 Sources of Not Supporting.....	27
<i>Chapter 2.4 Bear River Watershed Management Unit</i>	31
2.4.1 Introduction.....	31
2.4.2 Water Quality Assessment Results.....	31
2.4.1.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support.....	31
2.4.1.2 Assessment by Categories.....	33
2.4.1.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	33
2.4.1.4 Total l Waters Impaired by Various Causes.....	36
2.4.1.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources.....	36
2.4.1.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	36
<i>Chapter 2.5 Weber River Watershed Management Unit Assessment</i>	44
2.5.1 Introduction.....	44
2.5.2 Water Quality Assessment Results.....	44

2.5.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	45
2.5.1.2 Assessment by Categories.....	45
2.5.1.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	45
2.5.1.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	49
2.5.1.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	49
2.5.1.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	49
<i>Chapter 2.6 Utah Lake-Jordan River Watershed Management Unit Assessment</i>	<i>56</i>
2.6.1 Introduction.....	56
2.6.2 Water Quality Assessment Results	56
2.6.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	56
2.6.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment by Categories	57
2.6.2.3 Individual Use Support	57
2.6.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	58
2.6.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	58
2.6.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	58
<i>Chapter 2.7 Uinta Watershed Management Unit Water Quality Assessment</i>	<i>69</i>
2.7.1 Introduction.....	69
2.7.2. Water Quality Assessment Results	69
2.7.2.1 Assessment by Categories.....	70
2.7.2.2 Overall Beneficial Use Support	70
2.7.2.3 Individual Use Support	70
2.7.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	71
2.7.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	71
2.7.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	71
<i>Chapter 2.8 Sevier River Watershed Management Unit Assessment</i>	<i>83</i>
2.8.1. Introduction.....	83
2.8.2. Water Quality Assessment Results	83
2.8.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	84
2.8.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories	84
2.8.2.3 Individual Use Support	84
2.8.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	85
2.8.2.5. Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	85
2.8.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	85
<i>Chapter 2.9 Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>97</i>
2.9.1. Introduction.....	97
2.9.2. Water Quality Assessment Results	97
2.9.2.1. Overall Beneficial Use Support	97
2.9.2.2. Beneficial Use Assessment by Categories.....	98
2.9.2.3. Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	98
2.9.2.4. Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	102
2.9.2.5. Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	102
2.9.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	102
<i>Chapter 2.10 Lower Colorado River Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>109</i>
2.10.1. Introduction.....	109

2.10.2. Water Quality Assessment Results	109
2.10.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	109
2.10.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories	110
2.10.2.3--Individual Beneficial Use Support	110
2.10.2.4--Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes.....	111
2.10.2.5--Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	111
2.10.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	111
<i>Chapter 2.11 Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit Water Quality</i>	
<i>Assessment</i>	<i>120</i>
2.11.1 Introduction.....	120
2.11.2 Water Quality Assessment Results	120
2.11.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	121
2.11.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories	121
2.11.2.3. Individual Use Support	122
2.11.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	122
2.11.2.5. Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	122
2.11.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	122
<i>Chapter 2.12 Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....</i>	<i>131</i>
2.12.1 Introduction.....	131
2.12.2 Water Quality Assessment Results	131
2.12.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support	132
2.12.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment by Category	132
2.12.2.3 Individual Use Support	132
2.12.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes	133
2.12.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources	133
2.12.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	133
<i>Chapter 2.13. Great Basin Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>142</i>
2.13.1. Introduction.....	142
2.13.2. Water Quality Assessment Results	142
<i>Chapter 2.14 Lake Water Quality Assessment.....</i>	<i>147</i>
2.14.1 Introduction.....	147
2.14.2 Trophic Status	149
2.14.3 Control and Restoration Efforts	151
2.14.4 Impaired and Threatened Lakes.....	153
2.14.5 Acid Effects on Lakes.....	163
2.14.6 Toxic Effects on Lakes	164
2.14.7 Trends in Lake Water Quality.....	166
2.14.8 Assessment Categories.....	171
<i>Chapter 2.15 Biological Assessment Results.....</i>	<i>183</i>
2.15.1. Results.....	183
2.15.2. Conclusions and Next Steps.....	187
<i>Chapter 2.16.7 Assessment Units with Elevated Levels of Phosphorus</i>	<i>191</i>

List of Tables

Table 2.1-1	Atlas of State's Characteristics.....	1
Table 2.1-2	Beneficial Uses Applied to Streams, Rivers, Lakes and Reservoirs.....	2
Table 2.1-3	Individual Use Support Summary.....	4
Table 2.1-4	Stream Miles by Assessment Category - State Wide.....	5
Table 2.1-5	Lake Beneficial Use Assessment by Category - Lake Acreage.....	6
Table 2.2-1	General UPDES Permits.....	12
Table 2.2-2	Funding Amounts for Wastewater and Treatment Facilities.....	20
Table 2.2-3	Nonpoint Source 319 Funding FY-90 Through FY-05.....	22
Table 2.2-4	Nonpoint Source Funding Distribution: 1990-2007.....	22
Table 2.3-1	Water Quality Monitoring Regions.....	24
Table 2.3-2	Stream Assessment Units that have Fish Consumption Advisories.....	25
Table 2.3-3	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – State Wide.....	25
Table 2.3-4	Individual Use Support Summary.....	26
Table 2.3-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles).....	27
Table 2.3-6	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles)....	28
Table 2.4-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	31
Table 2.4-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	33
Table 2.4-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	34
Table 2.4-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	37
Table 2.4-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	37
Table 2.4-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Bear River Watershed Management Unit	42
Table 2.5-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	44
Table 2.5-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	45
Table 2.5-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	46
Table 2.5-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Weber Watershed Management Unit.....	50
Table 2.5-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Weber Watershed Management Unit.....	50
Table 2.5-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	55
Table 2.6-1	Hydrological Unit Codes and Names.....	56
Table 2.6-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	57

Table 2.6-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support Summary Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles)	58
Table 2.6-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit	61
Table 2.6-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit	61
Table 2.6-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Jordan/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	66
Table 2.7-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Uinta Watershed Management Unit.	69
Table 2.7-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	70
Table 2.7-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	71
Table 2.7-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles).....	72
Table 2.7-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles)	72
Table 2.7-6	Assessment Units Needing a TMDL Analysis	79
Table 2.8-1	Hydrological Unit Codes and Names	83
Table 2.8-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	84
Table 2.8-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit	85
Table 2.8-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Sevier Watershed Management Unit	88
Table 2.8-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Sevier Watershed Management Unit	88
Table 2.8-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Sevier Watershed Management Unit.....	93
Table 2.9-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	97
Table 2.9-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Cedar/Beaver.....	98
Table 2.9-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles) Classification - 2008	99
Table 2.9-4	Impaired AUs in the Cedar Beaver Watershed.....	103
Table 2.9-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	104
Table 2.9-6	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	104
Table 2.10-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	109
Table 2.10-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	110
Table 2.10-3	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	111
Table 2.10-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit	114
Table 2.10-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit	114

Table 2.10-6	Impaired Assessment Units in the Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	119
Table 2.11-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	120
Table 2.11-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	121
Table 2.11-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles)	122
Table 2.11-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	125
Table 2.11-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	125
Table 2.11-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	130
Table 2.12-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	131
Table 2.12-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	132
Table 2.12-3	Individual Use Support Summary - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	133
Table 2.12-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	136
Table 2.12-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	136
Table 2.12-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	141
Table 2.13-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the West Desert Watershed Management Unit	142
Table 2.13-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	143
Table 2.14-1	Utah Freshwater Lakes and Reservoirs by Size Class Showing Numbers, Surface Acres and Percent of Total Lake Surface	149
Table 2.14-2	Trophic Status of Lakes Based on Trophic State Index (TSI).....	151
Table 2.14-3	Lake Rehabilitation Techniques	152
Table 2.14-4	Listing of Phase II and Section 319 Projects for Lake Water Quality Control	153
Table 2.14-5	Summary of Individual Lake Beneficial Use Support.....	155
Table 2.14-6	Summary of Assessed Lake and Reservoir Support Use.....	163
Table 2.14-7	Summary of Lake and Reservoir Support Use by Designated Beneficial Use (acres)	163
Table 2.14-8	Total Size of Lake Assessment Units Not Fully Supporting Uses Affected by Various Cause Categories (Acres).....	165
Table 2.14-9	Total Size of Lake Assessment Units Not Fully Supporting Uses Affected by Various Source Categories (Acres).....	166
Table 2.14-10	Summary of Total Lake Assessment Unit Size Affected by Toxics	166
Table 2.14-11	Trends in Water Quality of Lakes and Reservoirs.....	167

Table 2.14-12	Utah Reservoir/Lake Monitoring List and Trophic State Index (TSI) Evaluation	167
Table 2.14-13	Category 1 – All Designated Uses are Met.....	171
Table 2.14-14	Category 2 – Some Designated Uses are Supported; Insufficient Data to Assess Other Designated Uses.....	171
Table 2.14-15	Category 4A - Total Maximum Daily Load Analyses Completed and Approved by EPA.....	174
Table 2.14-16	Category 5 - Lakes Needing Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis	176
Table 2.14-17	Category 4 and Category 5 - Lake and Reservoir Approved TMDLs for some but not all Pollutants Assessment Units Meeting Standards	180
Table 2.14-18	Category 3B – Lakes not Fully Supporting Beneficial Uses for 2008, but will not be listed until Two Consecutive Assessment Cycles Demonstrated Impairment.....	180
Table 2.14-19	Lake Beneficial Use Assessment by Category - Lake Acreage.....	180
Table 2.15-1	Assessment Unit Biological Sampling O/E Values and Aquatic Life (Class 3) Assessments.....	183
Table 2.16-1	Assessment Units with Elevated Phosphorus Levels and Those AU Assessed with Benthic Macroinvertebrate Data but an Assessment of Support Could Not Be Made	193

List of Figures

Figure 2.1-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support for Streams.....	3
Figure 2.1-2	Overall Beneficial Use Support - Statewide	5
Figure 2.3-1	Watershed Management Units.....	23
Figure 2.3-2	Statewide overall beneficial use support assessment for streams.....	26
Figure 2.3-3	Statewide causes of impairments	27
Figure 2.3-4	Overall stream beneficial use support for 2008 Integrated Report.....	29
Figure 2.4-1	River and stream beneficial use classes – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	32
Figure 2.4-2	Overall Beneficial Use Support	33
Figure 2.4-3	River and stream designated beneficial uses – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	35
Figure 2.4-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Bear River Watershed Assessment Unit	38
Figure 2.4-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Bear River Watershed Management Unit	39
Figure 2.4-6	Percent of stream miles impacted by various sources – Bear River Watershed Management Unit	40
Figure 2.4-7	Relative percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Bear River Watershed Management Unit	41
Figure 2.5-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support	44
Figure 2.5-2	Weber River Watershed Management Unit beneficial use classifications	47
Figure 2.5-3	Weber River Watershed Management Unit assessment by categories.....	48

Figure 2.5-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality - Weber River Watershed Management.....	51
Figure 2.5-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	52
Figure 2.5-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	53
Figure 2.5-7	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Weber River Watershed Management Unit	54
Figure 2.6-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support	57
Figure 2.6-2	Beneficial use classifications – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	59
Figure 2.6-3	Beneficial use assessment by category -Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit	60
Figure 2.6-4	Percent of stream miles affected by various causes – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit	62
Figure 2.6-5	Relative percent impacted by causes on water quality – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	63
Figure 2.6-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	64
Figure 2.6-7	Relative percent impact by various sources on water quality – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	65
Figure 2.7-1	Beneficial use classifications – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	73
Figure 2.7-2	Beneficial use assessment by categories – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	74
Figure 2.7-3	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit.....	75
Figure 2.7-4	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	76
Figure 2.7-5	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit.....	77
Figure 2.7-6	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	78
Figure 2.8-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support - Sevier.....	83
Figure 2.8-2	Beneficial use classifications – Sevier Watershed Management Unit.....	86
Figure 2.8-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	87
Figure 2.8-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Sevier Watershed Management Unit.....	89
Figure 2.8-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	90
Figure 2.8-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit	91
Figure 2.8-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Sevier Watershed Management Unit	92
Figure 2.9-1	Overall beneficial use support	97

Figure 2.9-2	River and stream designated beneficial use classes – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	100
Figure 2.9-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Cedar / Beaver Watershed Management Unit.....	101
Figure 2.9-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	105
Figure 2.9-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	106
Figure 2.9-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	107
Figure 2.9-7	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit	108
Figure 2.10-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support	109
Figure 2.10-2	River and stream designated beneficial use classes – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit	112
Figure 2.10-3	River and stream assessment by category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	113
Figure 2.10-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	115
Figure 2.10-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit	116
Figure 2.10-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	117
Figure 2.10-7	Relative percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	118
Figure 2.11-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support	121
Figure 2.11-2	Beneficial use classifications – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	123
Figure 2.11-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	124
Figure 2.11-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	126
Figure 2.11-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	127
Figure 2.11-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	128
Figure 2.11-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit	129
Figure 2.12-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support	132
Figure 2.12-2	Beneficial use classifications – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	134
Figure 2.12-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	135
Figure 2.12-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	137

Figure 2.12-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	138
Figure 2.12-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	139
Figure 2.12-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit	140
Figure 2.13-1	River and stream designated beneficial use classes - West Desert Watershed Management Unit	144
Figure 2.13-2	Beneficial use assessment by category – West Desert Water Quality Management Unit.....	145
Figure 2.14-1	Lake beneficial use assessment by category	181
Figure 2.15-1	Biological assessment in percentage of stream miles	188
Figure 2.15-2	Final Class 3 aquatic life assessment for units with biological data.....	189
Figure 2.15-3	Final aquatic life assessment in percentage of stream miles.....	190
Figure 2.16-1	Assessment Units with elevated levels of phosphorus and Assessment Units where benthic macroinvertebrate data were collected but a determination of full or non support could not be made.....	192

Appendix

Appendix A Comments and Responses on Draft Integrated Report